

Possible chromospheric response to the dynamics of photospheric G-band bright points

M. Bodnárová, D. Utz, J. Rybák

October 5, 2015

Coimbra Solar Physics Meeting “Ground-based Solar Observations in the
Space Instrumentation Era”, 5 – 9 October 2015, Coimbra, Portugal

Outline

Goals

Data

Aproximation of the $H\alpha$ spectral line profile

Autocorrelations and cross-correlations

Bright “mottle” – temporal evolution in $H\alpha$

Temporal evolution of the group of GBPs _(+v)

Conclusion


Goals

- * to investigate the connection between structures observed in the chromosphere (Ca II H and H α) and the photosphere (G-band) with the help of co-spatial and co-temporal images
- * to select an appropriate subfield of the FOV and to investigate the effect of the size of this region of interest (ROI) on the area-averaged profile of the H α spectral line
- * to study four spectral characteristics of the H α spectral line profile by employing autocorrelations and cross-correlations: the intensity in the line center I_c , the width of the profile w_p , the Doppler velocity v_c , and the Doppler velocity v_p

Chromospheric response to photospheric dynamics

* to focus on a single structure (bright mottle) in the $H\alpha$ images — to investigate how long it existed; its visibility in the $H\alpha$ core and wings; effect on the temporal evolution of the studied spectral characteristics of the area-averaged profile

* to investigate the connection to the evolution of a longliving group of G-band bright points (GBPs)



Data

- * **Instrument:** Dutch Open Telescope (DOT); La Palma, Canary Islands
- * **Observation time:** 19th October 2005, 09:55 – 11:05 UT, 142 images with a cadence of 30 s (resp. 71 images with a cadence of 60 s)
- * **Image properties:** FOV of 79×58 arcsec (1112×818 pixel); sampling of 0.071 arcsec/pixel

Datasets

From all available datasets of speckle reconstructed images we used three:


- * **G-band** (430.5 nm)
- * **Ca II H** (396.9 nm)
- * **H α** in the core of the line profile ($\lambda_c = 656,3$ nm) and in four points in the wings of the line profile ($\lambda = \lambda_c \pm 0.035$ nm and $\lambda = \lambda_c \pm 0.07$ nm, respectively)

Aproximation of the H α spectral line profile

* **Input data:** simultaneous images in five points across the H α line profile (core: $\lambda_c = 656,3$ nm; and wings: $\lambda = \lambda_c \pm 0.035$ nm and $\lambda = \lambda_c \pm 0.07$ nm)

* **Aproximated profile:** deduction of the H α line profile (re-sampled for 1400 points) based on the theoretical profile (spectral line atlas) and a 4th order polynomial fit across five known points of the measured profile

* the aproximated profile can be computed for a single pixel within the FOV or averaged over a selected area – region of interest (ROI)



Spectral characteristics of the $H\alpha$ line profile

- * **Core intensity** I_C : intensity minimum
- * **Width of the approximated profile** w_p : at the intensity level I_p

$$I_p = \frac{\langle I_{-0.7}, I_{+0.7} \rangle + I_c}{2}$$

- * **Doppler velocity** v_C : the doppler-shift of the line core

* **Doppler velocity** v_p : doppler-shift of the line profile (based on four points in the wings of the H α line profile — not computed from the approximated profile) based on the parameter α :

$$\alpha = (F_1 + F_2 - F_3 - F_4)/(F_1 - F_3)$$


if $(F_1 + F_2 - F_3 - F_4) > 0$ *or*

$$\alpha = (F_1 + F_2 - F_3 - F_4)/(F_4 - F_2)$$

if $(F_1 + F_2 - F_3 - F_4) \leq 0$


Scherrer et al., 1995; Sol. Phys. vol. 162,129 (algorithm for MDI on board SOHO)

parametric curve for α :
computed from subsequent
shifts of the atlas profile of the
 $H\alpha$ spectral line in order to de-
duce the velocity v_p




The effect of area averaging

- * **Selected location:** a prominent network region
- * **ROI of various sizes:** from 5×5 pixels to 81×81 pixels




A subfield of the FOV, centered at the selected ROI: 1) G-band; 2) Ca II H; 3) $H\alpha(\lambda_c)$; and 4) the sizes of the ROI.

Chromospheric response to photospheric dynamics




* I_C : for areas of different size: 5×5 (black), 21×21 (green), 41×41 (red), 61×61 (blue) and 81×81 (magenta) pixels. The horizontal line indicates the mean value for the smallest ROI.

Chromospheric response to photospheric dynamics




* w_p : for areas of different size: 5×5 (black), 21×21 (green), 41×41 (red), 61×61 (blue) and 81×81 (magenta) pixels. The horizontal line indicates the mean value for the smallest ROI.

Chromospheric response to photospheric dynamics



* v_c : for areas of different size: 5×5 (black), 21×21 (green), 41×41 (red), 61×61 (blue) and 81×81 (magenta) pixels. The horizontal line indicates the mean value for the smallest ROI.

Chromospheric response to photospheric dynamics



* v_p : for areas of different size: 5×5 (black), 21×21 (green), 41×41 (red), 61×61 (blue) and 81×81 (magenta) pixels. The horizontal line indicates the mean value for the smallest ROI.


Small summary – 1

- * with the increasing size of the averaged area the peaks of the temporal evolution are decreasing in value (their positions are maintained) \implies the source of the increase should be localized within the smallest area and larger areas are causing the highest/lowest values to be smoother over by numerous values in between
- * w_p : shows minimal variations (within 0.011 nm)
- * I_c, v_c and v_p : show prominent peaks during the **second half (35–71 min)** of the observation \implies possible indication of periodic behaviour
- * for further studies we choose just one size of the ROI: **21 \times 21 pixel** \implies small enough to have a bigger percentage of network and big enough contain the interesting features for the duration of the observation

Autocorrelations and cross-correlations


- * **Autocorrelation** is used in order to look for indication of periodicities of the spectral characteristics of the $H\alpha$ line profile (I_c , w_p , v_c and v_p)
- * **Cross-correlation** is used in order to measure the similarity between the spectral characteristics of the $H\alpha$ line profile (I_c , w_p , v_c and v_p)
- * these were done for ROI (**21×21 pixel**) and only for the **second half (35–71 min)** of the observation \implies events of interest

Chromospheric response to photospheric dynamics



Autocorrelations of the spectral characteristics of the $H\alpha$ line profile for ROI of 21×21 pixels: I_c (black), w_p (red), v_c (blue) and v_p (magenta).

Chromospheric response to photospheric dynamics




Cross-correlations of the spectral characteristics of the $H\alpha$ line profile for ROI of 21×21 pixels: I_c and w_p (black); I_c and v_c (red); I_c and v_p (blue); v_c and v_p (magenta); w_p and v_p (green).

Small summary – 2

- * **Autocorrelations** of I_c , v_c and v_p indicate the existence of a period **8 min**, where this indication is stronger for the velocities than for the intensity
- * **Cross-correlations** of I_c with both v_c and v_p show high values for I_c lagging behind v_c and v_p by **1.5 min**
- * **Cross-correlation** of v_c with v_p show that both parameters obtained with different methods and from different input data represent the same physical quantity
- * **Cross-correlations** of w_p with both I_c and v_p show no statistically significant similarity between the studied parameters

Mean intensities in $H\alpha$, Ca II H and G-band



* ROI of 21×21 pixels: *The temporal evolution of the mean intensities for theselected ROI: in G-band (black), in Ca II H (red), and in $H\alpha$ (blue) at λ_c .*


Chromospheric response to photospheric dynamics

* $t = 39.5$ min: a prominent peak of the mean intensity in Ca II H and $H\alpha \implies$ corresponds with answerable peaks for the spectral characteristics of the $H\alpha$ line profile (I_c , v_c and v_p)



The zoomed ROI: 1) G-band; 2) Ca II H; and 3) $H\alpha(\lambda_c) \implies$ co-spatial location of GBPs and bright features in Ca II H and $H\alpha$


Bright “mottle” – temporal evolution in $H\alpha$



Small summary – 3

- * **bright “mottle”**: a shortlived (2 min) feature observed in $H\alpha$ (λ_c) and also in one wing at shorter wavelengths, but not observed at longer wavelengths \implies may suggest physical movement of the plasma towards the surface of the Sun
- * at **38 – 40 min**: v_c and v_p have positive values \implies suggest downflow

Temporal evolution of the group of GBPs ^(+v)



Evolution of locations of the GBPs - from left to right: 1) G-band at 35 min; 2) G-band at 37 min; 3) G-band at 39.5 min; 4) G-band at 45 min; 5) G-band at 54.5 min and 6) Ca II H at 39.5 min.

Small summary – 4

- * a “long-lasting” conglomeration of GBPs is formed at around 30 min after the beginning of the observation \implies it exist during the remainder of the observation
- * it undergoes some development \implies after 39 min a meandering vertical “filigree” (bufeted by granulation)
- * numerous ocurence of bright “mottles” during the existence of the group of GBPs (not observed before the formation of the conglomeration)

Conclusion

- * **autocorrelations and crosscorrelations**: statistically significant variations in the intensity and doppler velocity \implies 8 min periods and I_c lagging behind v_c and v_p by **1.5 min** \implies could be an indication of magneto-acoustic wave propagation (**Mathioudakis M. et al., 2013; Space Sci. Rev. vol. 175, Iss. 1-4, pp. 1-27**)
- * repetitive occurrence of **bright "mottles"** in $H\alpha$ co-temporal and co-spacial with the existence of a **conglomeration of GBPs** \implies representations of the same magnetic features (magnetic flux tubes buffeted by the granulation)

Questions?