# First determination of the system parameters in five contact binaries

B. Dębski<sup>1,2</sup>, L. Kulczycka<sup>2</sup>, W. Skrobacz<sup>2</sup>, J. Pęgiel<sup>2</sup> and M. Jacak<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Astronomical Observatory of the Jagiellonian University, Orla 171, 30-244 Kraków, Poland (E-mail: b.debski@oa.uj.edu.pl)

<sup>2</sup> Krakowskie Młodzieżowe Towarzystwo Przyjaciół Nauk i Sztuk, H. Jordan Youth Center in Kraków, Krupnicza 38, 31-123 Kraków, Poland

Received: November 13, 2023; Accepted: January 23, 2024

**Abstract.** We present solutions of the BVRI-light curve numerical modeling for five low-mass ratio totally eclipsing contact binary stars. One object required the introduction of a cool star spot to the best-fitting model. Only one object returned a solution with a hotter secondary component. None of the objects required the introduction of a third component. **Key words:** stars – binaries: close – binaries: eclipsing

### 1. Introduction

The W UMa-type contact binary stars are canonically described as binary systems consisting of two main-sequence stars that share a common convective envelope. A consequence of such a configuration is an equalized surface temperature and, in the case of eclipsing systems, a light curve with brightness minima of nearly equal depths (Lucy, 1968). This feature allowed us to compose a catalog of suspected contact binaries (Debski & Walczak, 2022), which subsequently are observed and studied in the Krakow Observatory.

The common outer envelope in contact binaries is very well described with the Roche geometry (Kopal, 1959), which makes these systems good targets for the light curve numerical modeling studies (Debski, 2022). On the other hand, the degeneracy between the system inclination, effective temperature, and the mass ratio poses a serious problem for the modeling process. The surface temperature can be derived from the color of the system, but the search for the latter two parameters must be done under very specific circumstances. One of such is that the mass ratio could be obtained from radial velocity studies and then put into the photometric modeling. The other way is to study the totally eclipsing systems only. Pribulla et al. (2003) and Terrell & Wilson (2005) have shown that the inclination-mass ratio entanglement breaks when the Roche model is constrained with total eclipses. Since most of our targets are faint and lack spectroscopical data, we, therefore, decided to focus on totally eclipsing systems in our photometric studies.

Parameter KR 00017 KR 00036 KR 00059 KR 00085  $\mathrm{KR}\ 00225$ RA [h m s] 06 29 57.7 15 58 54.2 02 34 25.0 06 50 47.2 20 40 03.6 DEC [° ' "] 76 42 59.7 46 35 49.0 79 37 39.4 73 21 32.7 63 59 34.5  $P_{orb}$  [d] 0.3145560.2720300.3469800.3385550.352281Max. mag, V 13.04(1)13.37(1)13.19(1)13.10(3)10.70(2)77(2)77(3)86(2)80(1)88(1) $i [^{\circ}]$  $T_1$  [K] 5673562861845808 6618  $T_2$  [K] 5554(11)5712(9)5796(11)5543(12)6435(11) $\Omega$  [K] 2.004(39)2.075(52)2.080(48)1.986(11)1.985(41) $q \left[ M_2 / M_1 \right]$ 0.117(21)0.154(19)0.161(11)0.132(2)0.125(9)Dist. [pc] 517(3)602(4)756(6)627(4)271(1)3.18(4) $M_V$ 4.26(4)4.41(3)3.47(4)3.78(4) $M_1 \, [M_\odot]$ 1.36(25)1.33(26)1.84(37)1.75(33)1.74(29) $M_2 \, [\mathrm{M}_{\odot}]$ 0.16(5)0.20(6)0.30(8)0.23(7)0.22(6)

**Table 1.** The parameters of the observed objects: coordinates and brightness (top row); best-fitting model results (middle rows) and the calculated physical parameters (bottom rows).

## 2. The objects

The sample of objects studied in this work is a result of the individual tutoring program commenced by the Jagiellonian University at the Jordan Youth Center in Krakow. The objects selected for this study come from the precompiled list of suspected contact binaries<sup>1</sup>. The temperatures of the primary components were adopted from the TESS Input Catalogue (TIC), v8.2 (Paegert et al., 2021). The distances to the objects were calculated using the Gaia DR3 (Gaia Collaboration et al., 2023) parallaxes. The absolute magnitudes,  $M_V$ , were derived from the distances, D, and observed brightness  $m_V$  (collected by us), corrected by the interstellar extinction adopted from TIC.

## 3. Observations and Analysis

The photometric data used for this study were taken with the Apogee Alta U42 camera in the D9 casing mounted on the Cassegrain telescope (aperture 500 mm, effective focal length 6650 mm) at the Astronomical Observatory of the Jagiellonian University in Krakow. The light curves of all objects were taken in the Bessel B, V, R and I filters. The light curve numerical modeling was conducted using a modified Wilson-Devinney code (Wilson & Devinney, 1971; Zola et al., 1997; Debski, 2022). The physical parameters were calculated by incorporating the total brightness and the modeling result to the Stefan-Boltzman Law

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>http://bade.space/ew/

and then using the derived orbital separation to the Third Kepler's Law. The individual masses were then calculated with the use of the mass ratio obtained from numerical modeling.

## 4. Results

This study presents first-time obtained physical parameters for five low-mass ratio contact binaries. The observational parameters, as well as the best-fitting model parameters and the calculated physical parameters for all objects, are compiled in the Table 1. One system (KR 00017) needed the introduction of a cool ( $T_{spot} = 0.75 T_1$ ) spot on the back of the primary component. The spot was fixed at the stellar equator.

### References

- Debski, B., The light-curve intrinsic variability in 47 Kepler contact binary stars. 2022, Monthly Notices of the RAS, 516, 5003, DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stac2190
- Debski, B. & Walczak, K., A study of a contact binary system NSVS 2983201. 2022, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2212.14085, DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2212.14085
- Gaia Collaboration, Vallenari, A., Brown, A. G. A., et al., Gaia Data Release 3. Summary of the content and survey properties. 2023, Astronomy and Astrophysics, 674, A1, DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/202243940
- Kopal, Z. 1959, Close binary systems
- Lucy, L. B., The Structure of Contact Binaries. 1968, Astrophysical Journal, 151, 1123, DOI: 10.1086/149510
- Paegert, M., Stassun, K. G., Collins, K. A., et al., TESS Input Catalog versions 8.1 and 8.2: Phantoms in the 8.0 Catalog and How to Handle Them. 2021, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2108.04778, DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2108.04778
- Pribulla, T., Kreiner, J. M., & Tremko, J., Catalogue of the field contact binary stars. 2003, Contributions of the Astronomical Observatory Skalnate Pleso, 33, 38
- Terrell, D. & Wilson, R. E., Photometric Mass Ratios of Eclipsing Binary Stars. 2005, Astrophysics and Space Science, 296, 221, DOI: 10.1007/s10509-005-4449-4
- Wilson, R. E. & Devinney, E. J., Realization of Accurate Close-Binary Light Curves: Application to MR Cygni. 1971, Astrophysical Journal, 166, 605, DOI: 10.1086/150986
- Zola, S., Kolonko, M., & Szczech, M., Analysis of a photoelectric light curve of the W UMa-type binary ST Ind. 1997, Astronomy and Astrophysics, 324, 1010