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## Aims

- Derivation of the absolute parameters of the eclipsing components
- Observations of transits for an accurate period and shape determination
- Discussion about the nature of the third component


## Observations \& data reduction

- Telescope: 40 cm Cassegrain
- CCD: ST-10 XME - VRI photometric filters (Bessell)
- Location: University of Athens Observatory
- Method of reduction: Differential aperture photometry
- Duration: 2007-2011


## Light curve analysis

Method: Wilson \& Devinney code - PHOEBE software


## 3D Model \& Absolute parameters



| $\mathrm{M}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right]$ | $1.60(1)$ | 1.90 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}\left[\mathrm{R}_{\odot}\right]$ | $1.72(4)$ | $2.38(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{T}[\mathrm{K}]$ | $7897(8)$ | 7900 |
| $\mathrm{~L}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{\odot}\right]$ | $10.3(4)$ | $19.8(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{a}\left[\mathrm{R}_{\odot}\right]$ | $6.2(1)$ | $5.2(2)$ |
| $\log \mathrm{g}\left[\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right]$ | $4.17(2)$ | $3.96(2)$ |

## Position of the components in the $M-R$ diagram



## Transit light curves



## Transit analysis

- PhoS-T software
- We don't know which eclipsing component the third body transits

The third body orbits the primary component

The third body orbits the secondary component

## Conclusions

$>$ The eclipsing components are MS stars in eccentric orbits
$>$ Updated ephemeris: $\mathrm{T}_{\text {transit }}=$ HJD $2454899.354(1)+0.519215(1)^{\mathrm{d}} \times \mathrm{E}$
$>$ The shape of the transits differs from time to time which affect the derived parameters of the third body
$>$ A mean radius value of 4.4 (3) $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Jup}}$ and 6.4 (6) $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Jup}}$ for cases A and B was calculated
$>$ According to $\chi^{2}$ value the solution of case A was found more realistic
$>$ The system's LC can be solved either with $(\sim 2 \%)$ or without a third light
$>$ The "Hot Jupiter" scenario seems to fail due to the big value of the radius., therefore the "Brown dwarf" hypothesis seems that marginally satisfies the results

